

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings include corrections to FIG. 5. The corrections include changing "SDRAM" to "ROM" for reference numeral "120" in accordance with the specification. No new matter has been added.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated July 13, 2007, Applicants request reconsideration based on the attached amendment and at least the following remarks. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as presented herein are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-17 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-14, 16 and 17 have been amended. Subject matter which the Examiner states is allowable in dependent claim 8, i.e., *the display unit comprising a first switching part configured to transfer one of parallel compensation data from a serial-parallel converting part and device compensation data associated with a characteristic of the display device from a first memory in response to a first clock signal*, has been incorporated into independent claim 1.

No new matter has been added by the amendments. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of claims 1-17 based upon the amendments and at least the following remarks.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

To anticipate a claim under 35 U.S.C. § 102, a single source must contain all of the elements of the claim. *Lewmar Marine Inc. v. Barient, Inc.*, 827 F.2d 744, 747, 3 U.S.P.Q.2d 1766, 1768 (Fed. Cir. 1987), cert. denied, 484 U.S. 1007 (1988). Furthermore, the single source must disclose all of the claimed elements “*arranged as in the claim.*” *Structural Rubber Prods. Co. v. Park Rubber Co.*, 749 F.2d 707, 716, 223 U.S.P.Q. 1264, 1271 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (Emphasis added).

Claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being allegedly unpatentable over Suzuki, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,833,886 B2, hereinafter “Suzuki”) as stated on pages 2-4 of the Office Action. Applicants respectfully traverse for at least the following reasons.

Applicants respectfully note that subject matter of dependent claim 8, which the Examiner states is allowable, has been incorporated into amended independent claim 1. In particular, it is respectfully submitted that Suzuki does not teach or

suggest "the display unit comprising a first switching part configured to transfer one of parallel compensation data and device compensation data associated with a characteristic of the display device from a first memory in response to a first clock signal" as recited in the amended claim 1 of the present invention. For at least this reason, amended claim 1 is not anticipated by Suzuki.

Therefore, Suzuki does not disclose all of the claimed elements in amended claim 1.

As a result, it is respectfully submitted that claim 1, including claims depending therefrom, i.e., claims 2-13, define over Suzuki.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the above rejection to claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 be withdrawn.

Claim 14 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being allegedly unpatentable over Suzuki, as stated on page 4 of the Office Action. Applicants respectfully traverse for at least the following reasons.

It is respectfully submitted that Suzuki does not teach or suggest "wherein selecting the look-up table of compensation data comprises: switching one of parallel compensation data and device compensation data associated with a characteristic of the display device in response to a first clock signal" as recited in the amended claim 14 of the present invention. For at least this reason, amended claim 14 is not anticipated by Suzuki.

Therefore, Suzuki does not disclose all of the claimed elements in amended claim 14.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that claim 14, including claims depending therefrom, i.e., claims 15-17, define over Suzuki.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the above rejection to claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 be withdrawn.

Allowable subject matter

Claims 8-13 and 16-17 are objected to as being dependent on rejected base claims, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's noting the allowable subject matter in claims 8-13 and 16-17, but Applicant respectfully submits that claims 8-13 and 16-17 are allowable as depending upon allowable independent claims 1 and 14, respectively. As such, Applicant has not rewritten claims 8-13 and 16-17 in independent form at this time.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing remarks distinguishing the prior art of record, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for allowance. Early notification to this effect is requested. The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' attorneys at the below-listed telephone number regarding this Amendment or otherwise regarding the present application in order to address any questions or remaining issues concerning the same. If there are any charges due in connection with this response, please charge them to Deposit Account 06-1130.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

By: /James J. Merick/
James J. Merrick
Registration No. 43,801
Confirmation No. 7289
Cantor Colburn LLP
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002
Telephone (860) 286-2929
Customer No. 23413

Date: October 27, 2007